

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

DISTRICT OF VERMONT

Lisa M. LaRoche,
Plaintiff,

v.

:

: File No. 2:01-CV-321

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

David Solomon, individually, and in his capacity as a South Burlington Police Officer,

Defendant.

Verdict Form

The verdict in this case will be determined by your answers to a series of questions set forth below. Make sure that you read the questions and notes carefully because they explain the order in which the questions should be answered and which questions may be skipped. Please read and answer the following questions.

Count I: Civil Rights Violation

Do you find that Lisa LaRoche has shown, by a preponderance of the evidence that:

1. Officer David Solomon used excessive force on her during a traffic stop on September 19, 2001.

/
YesNo
a. If the answer to Question 1 is "Yes," proceed to
Question 2.
b. If the answer to Question 1 is "No," enter a
verdict for the Defendant as to Count I. Then proceed
to Count II.
2. Officer Solomon's acts were a proximate cause of
damage sustained by Lisa LaRoche.
Yes No
a. If the answer to Question 2 is "Yes," proceed to
Question 3.
b. If the answer to Question 2 is "No," enter a
verdict for the Defendant as to Count I. Then proceed
to Count II.
Affirmative Defense: Qualified Immunity
3. Has Officer Solomon shown, by a preponderance of the
evidence, that he is entitled to qualified immunity as I
have defined it in the jury instructions?
Yes No

a.

If the answer to Question 3 is "Yes," enter a

verdict for the Defendant as to Count I. Then proceed to Count II.

b. If the answer to Question 3 is "No," enter a verdict for the Plaintiff as to Count I. Then proceed to Question 10 to calculate the damages sustained by the Plaintiff under this Count. Then proceed to Count II.

Count II Battery

4. Do you find that Plaintiff has shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Officer David Solomon intentionally inflicted bodily injury upon Lisa LaRoche?

Yes No

- a. If your answer to Question 4 is "Yes," proceed to Question 5.
- b. If your answer to Question 4 is "No," enter a verdict for the Defendant as to Count II. Then proceed to Count III.

Affirmative Defense: Qualified Immunity

5. Has Officer Solomon shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to qualified immunity as I

	have	defined	it	in	the	jury	instructions?
Yes No				Yes			No

- a. If the answer to Question 5 is "Yes," enter a verdict for the Defendant as to Count II. Then proceed to Count III.
- b. If the answer to Question 5 is "No," enter a verdict for the Plaintiff as to Count II. Then proceed to Question 10 to calculate the damages sustained by the Plaintiff under this Count. Then proceed to Count III.

Count III Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

Do you find that the Plaintiff has shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that:

6. Officer David Solomon's conduct towards Lisa LaRoche was "extreme and outrageous."



- a. If your answer to Question 6 is "Yes," proceed to Question 7.
- b. If your answer to Question 6 is "No," enter a verdict for the Defendant as to Count III.
- 7. Officer David Solomon either acted intentionally, or

with reckless disregard for the probability that his actions would cause Lisa LaRoche to suffer extreme emotional distress.

Yes	No

- a. If your answer to Question 7 is "Yes," proceed to Question 8.
- b. If your answer to Question 7 is "No," enter a verdict for the Defendant as to Count III.
- 8. Officer David Solomon's conduct was an actual or proximate cause of Lisa LaRoche's extreme emotional distress.

77 ± =.	7.T
Yes	No

- a. If your answer to Question 8 is "Yes," proceed to Question 9.
- b. If your answer to Question 8 is "No," enter a verdict for the Defendant as to Count III. Then proceed to Count IV.

Affirmative Defense: Qualified Immunity

9. Has Officer Solomon shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to qualified immunity as I

have defined it in the jury instructions?
Yes No
a. If the answer to Question 9 is "Yes," enter a
verdict for the Defendant as to Count III. Then proceed
to Count IV.
b. If the answer to Question 9 is "No," enter a
verdict for the Plaintiff as to Count III. Then proceed
to Question 10 to calculate the damages sustained by the
Plaintiff under this Count. Then proceed to Count IV.
Compensatory Damages
10. What is the amount, if any, Lisa LaRoche should be
awarded to compensate her for the reasonable cost of her
medical care?
\$
11. What is the amount, if any, that Lisa LaRoche
should be awarded to compensate her for physical pain
and suffering?
\$

· ·
12. What is the amount, if any, that Lisa LaRoche
should be awarded to compensate her for emotional
distress?
\$
Count IV Punitive Damages
13. Do you find that Officer Solomon was motivated by
evil motive or intent, or acted with malice or reckless
indifference to Lisa LaRoche's rights?
Yes No
a. If your answer to Question 13 is "Yes," proceed
to Question 14.
b. If your answer to Question 13 is "No," enter a
verdict for the Defendant as to Count IV.
14. What is the amount, if any, that Lisa LaRoche
should be awarded in punitive damages?
\$

Total Compensatory Damages	٩
Total Punitive Damages	\$
Total Amount Awarded	\$
Please have the foreperson si	ign the form below.
Date: 2.21.03	Muly Fray Foreperson